UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA United States of America, Plaintiff,) CR15-00707-PHX-SRB (MHB)) Phoenix, Arizona vs.) June 16, 2015 Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem,) 4:03 P.M. aka Decarus Thomas, Defendant. BEFORE: THE HONORABLE BRIDGET S. BADE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **DETENTION HEARING** APPEARANCES: For the Government: U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE By: Kristen Brook, Esq. 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004 For the Defendant Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem: MAYNARD, CRONIN, ERICKSON, CURRAN & REITER, PLC By: Daniel D. Maynard, Esq. 3200 North Central Avenue, Suite 1800 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Transcriptionist: Elizabeth A. Lemke Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 401 West Washington Street, SPC. 34 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150 (602) 322-7247

Proceedings Recorded by Electronic Sound Recording

Transcript Produced by Transcriptionist

1		
2	INDEX OF WITNESSES	
3	SPECIAL AGENT DINA McCARTHY:	
4	Direct examination by Ms. Brook Cross examination by Mr. Maynard	Page 4
5	Redirect examination by Ms. Brook	Page 16 Page 36
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	(Called to the order of court at 4:03 p.m.)		
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon. You may be seated.		
4	THE CLERK: Criminal docket 15-707. United States		
5	of America v. Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem on for a detention		
6	hearing.		
7	MS. BROOK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kristen		
8	Brook on behalf of the United States. Seated next to me is		
9	Special Agent Stewart Whitson with the FBI.		
10	THE COURT: Good afternoon.		
11	MR. MAYNARD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dan		
12	Maynard on behalf of Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem who is in		
13	custody seated next to me.		
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon. And good afternoon, Mr.		
15	Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem.		
16	This is the time set for a detention hearing. I		
17	received the Government's Brief in Support of Detention from		
18	which I conclude that they are seeking detention.		
19	Ms. Brook, how does the government wish to proceed		
20	this afternoon?		
21	MS. BROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.		
22	Your Honor, we have filed with the Court our memo,		
23	our Brief in Support of Detention in this case. And it		
24	continues within it the facts that are proffered facts.		
25	Bearing in mind that it is four o'clock in the		

```
1
      afternoon, the government intends to proceed by placing on the
      stand Special Agent Dina McCarthy with the FBI who will
 2
 3
      testify to eight particular points that are articulated within
 4
      the memo. We believe her testimony to take all of about ten
 5
      minutes.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. If you would like to call
 7
      her, please do so.
               MS. BROOK:
                           Thank you.
 8
               And the government calls Special Agent Dina McCarthy.
 9
10
          (Witness duly sworn).
11
               THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.
12
               Please state your full name and spell it, please.
13
               THE WITNESS: Dina McCarthy. D-I-N-A. Last name
14
      McCarthy. M-c-C-A-R-T-H-Y.
15
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               Please proceed, Ms. Brook.
16
17
               MS. BROOK:
                           Thank you.
               SPECIAL AGENT DINA MCCARTHY, WITNESS, SWORN
18
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
          What do you do for a living?
20
          I am an investigator, so a special agent with the FBI,
21
22
      Federal Bureau of Investigation.
23
          And how long have you been a special agent with the FBI?
      Q
24
      Α
          A little over 14 years.
25
          And as a special agent with the FBI, are you currently
      Q
```

1 assigned to any particular specialized units? 2 Yes, the Joint Terrorist and Task Force. 3 In preparation for today's hearing, how have you prepared? I have conferred and been briefed by the lead investigator 5 Special Agent Stewart Whitson, in addition to confirming the 6 facts that were contained in the memorandum prepared by the 7 prosecution. And in conjunction with this case, have you authored any 8 9 reports? 10 Yes, I have, one. 11 And to your knowledge, that particular report, was it 12 disclosed to the defense prior to this hearing? 13 It was. Α 14 Are you familiar with the Muhammad Art Exhibit and Contest 15 that was held in May in Garland, Texas? 16 I am. 17 And can you please tell the Court a little bit about that particular event. 18 Absolutely. On May 3rd, 2015, an event was held at the 19 20 Curtis Culwell Center in Garland, Texas. It was a contest that was supposed to draw -- have a contest about drawing the 21 22 Prophet Muhammad. Approximately 125 persons were in 23 attendance. 24 It started on or about 5:00 p.m. and ended on or 25 about 7:00 p.m. At approximately 6:45, two persons,

1 specifically Elton Simpson and Nadir Soofi exited the vehicle which was located at the event and started firing their 2 weapon -- weapons. 3 4 During the course of the attack, both Simpson and 5 Soofi were killed by law enforcement and one security quard 6 was injured. 7 And this particular contest, the Muhammad Art Exhibit and Contest, it was set on May 3rd? 8 Yes, it was. 9 Α 10 Are you familiar with how far in advance it was advertised? 11 12 I believe the -- it was first announced in February of 13 2015. 14 And in the course of the investigation, after the shooting and Simpson and Soofi were killed, did law enforcement 15 16 identify the weapons that Simpson and Soofi brought with them 17 when they drove to the Curtis Culwell Center that evening? There were six weapons; three rifles and three 18 handquns. 19 20 And how much ammunition? Approximately, 1500 rounds. 21 Α 22 Are you aware in this case of a three-count indictment 23 that was filed last week charging Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem? 24 Yes, I am. Α 25 And stated in that particular Indictment is the date Q

1 January 7th of this year, 2015. In the course of this investigation, what date --2 well, what relevance has that date? 3 That was the date of the Charlie Hebdo attack in France. 5 Paris, France. 6 Can you tell the Court a little bit more about the Charlie 7 Hebdo attack? There were a -- there was an attack by individuals on 8 the -- on the building or offices of Charlie Hebdo located in 9 10 Paris, France. And through the attack there were a number of 11 persons that were killed and/or injured. 12 What effect, if any, did the Charlie Hebdo attack have on 13 Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem based on the FBI's investigation in 14 this case? 15 As I understand it, it inspired him and/or motivated him to conduct a western version of such attack. 16 17 And how did the FBI learn that? Through witnesses. 18 Α 19 Specifically, was there a source that reported that information? 20 Yes. CS-1. 21 Α 22 Additionally, did FBI learn in conjunction with the 23 investigation in this case that Kareem discussed going with 24 Simpson and Soofi to attack the Muhammad Art Exhibit and 25 Contest?

1 Α Yes. 2 And how is it that FBI learned that? Again, through witnesses, specifically, CS-1. 3 Α The government's memorandum to the court in this case 5 discussed Kareem firing rifles in the desert this year in 6 2015. 7 Based upon the course of the FBI's investigation in this case, have any of those weapons that Kareem fired in the 8 desert this year -- were any of those identified as weapons 9 10 that were found at the scene on May 3rd of 2015 in Garland, Texas? 11 12 There were three rifles that were found at the scene 13 of the Garland attack and those weapons were identified by 14 CS-1 -- no CW-1 as the exact weapons that were used to train in the desert. 15 16 And you referred to "CW-1." Is that Confidential Witness 17 1? 18 Α Yes. And did -- in specifically talking about those three 19 weapons, did the confidential witness describe, give a verbal 20 description of what those weapons looked like? 21 22 Yes. There were details, very specific details describing 23 those three rifles. And then at a later point, law 24 enforcement provided photos of those rifles that were found at 25 the Garland, Texas, attack and that -- that witness identified

1 those as the ones that were used in the desert. 2 How did the confidential witness know that those three weapons identified from the scene of the Garland attack were 3 the same weapons that were used by Kareem in the desert? 5 That particular witness was there in the desert with Kareem, Simpson, and Soofi. 6 7 In the course of the investigation of this case, did the FBI find .pdf files and videos on Kareem's computer and flash 8 drive? 9 10 Yes. 11 And when was it that Kareem's computer and a flash drive 12 inside the computer was searched? During the execution of a search warrant in 2012, the 13 14 residence of Kareem and two of his roommates, they discovered 15 both a computer that belonged to Kareem, as well as a flash 16 drive that was plugged into Kareem's computer. 17 And so for clarity, it's an unrelated search warrant to Kareem? 18 19 Α Yes. But nonetheless, his computer and a flash drive within it 20 was seized? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 In reviewing the contents of the computer and the flash 24 drive, did the FBI find anything of note? 25 Specifically, on the computer in the Recycle Bin Α Yes.

1 there was a document, a GIMF document, Global Islam Media 2 Front, I believe is what the acronym stands for. 3 document is an operational security document specifically 4 designed to be used by jihadists to evade the detection of law 5 enforcement and intelligence agencies. 6 So that specific document, was it on the flash drive as 7 well as the computer? 8 I believe so. And was Kareem interviewed about whether or not that 9 10 computer and the flash drive were his? 11 Yes. And he stated that the computer was his. Α 12 Okay. And what did he say about the flash drive? That it was not his. 13 Α 14 The flash drive was inserted into the computer when it was seized? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And on the flash drive, I want to talk about some of the documents that were found. 18 Was there a few videos? 19 20 Α Yes. And did those videos include one by the title of "Training 21 22 That Makes Killing Civilians Acceptable"? 23 Α Yes. 24 Was there another video entitled "When the Terrorist Were 25 Freedom Fighters"?

1 Α Yes. 2 Additionally, on that flash drive was there a treatise entitled, "A Treatise on the Legal Status of Using Weapons of 3 Mass Destruction Against Infidels"? 5 Α Yes. 6 Were there also two copies of Inspire Magazine? 7 Α Yes. What's Inspire Magazine? 8 Q It is a magazine or publication published by al Qaeda. 9 Α 10 You mentioned that Kareem had stated the flash drive 11 wasn't his. Did FBI return the computer to Kareem? 12 Yes, along with the flash drive. 13 When Abdul Malik Abdul Kareem was arrested on June 20th --14 or I'm sorry, June 10th of this year, just six days ago, did 15 he have any weapons or body armor in his possession? 16 Yes. Α 17 What did he have? He had a weapon in the vehicle that he was driving at the 18 time of his arrest. And at a later time during that day, 19 after he was apprehended by law enforcement, he disclosed to 20 law enforcement that he also had additional weapons at his 21 22 residence. 23 During the course of the search we discovered one 24 weapon and then body armor, specifically a vest, that was in a 25 safe in his room.

- 1 Q Did Kareem make any statements about whether or not those
- 2 weapons were his?
- 3 A Yes. He claimed that those weapons were his.
- 4 Q Additionally, was any body armor located?
- 5 A Yes, a vest that was in the safe, a gun safe in his room.
- 6 Q In the course of FBI's investigation in this case, did --
- 7 has the FBI learned that Kareem made statements about wanting
- 8 to join ISIS?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What did the FBI learn?
- 11 A He told a witness that he wanted to join ISIS, in addition
- 12 | to watching ISIS videos with Simpson and Soofi.
- 13 Q And that witness, was that the confidential source?
- 14 A Yes, it was.
- 15 Q The same individual who Kareem discussed going to -- well,
- 16 joining Simpson and Soofi for the attack on the Muhammad Art
- 17 | Event and Contest?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Did the FBI learn in the course of this investigation that
- 20 | Kareem discussed attacking other venues beyond the Muhammad
- 21 Art Exhibit?
- 22 A Yes. Specifically, the Super Bowl in 2015 which was
- 23 located in Phoenix, Arizona or Glendale.
- 24 | Q And were those statements made by Kareem this year?
- 25 A Yes.

1 And who reported -- who was the -- was it the source that 2 reported that? 3 Yes. CS-1. Α This year in 2015 did Kareem attempt to acquire pipe 5 bombs? 6 Yes, he did. Α 7 And what have you learned about that? Through -- it was specifically during a New Year's 8 celebration in 2014, December 31st, last January 1st, 2015, 9 10 Simpson, Soofi, and Kareem were celebrating New Years. They were lighting off fireworks. And as part of 11 12 that there was a bottle that contained fireworks. 13 was detonated, that intrigued Malik or Kareem. And at that 14 point he asked CS-1 to help acquire and/or build the -- you 15 know, additional pipe bombs. 16 Was that a one-time request by Kareem to the source to get 17 a pipe bomb? No, that was ongoing. 18 Α And was it ongoing this year? 19 20 Α Yes. On May 8th of this year did the FBI ask the source to 21 22 engage in a consensual recording? 23 Α Yes. 24 And in that recording did the source report that Kareem 25 discussed pipe bombs?

1 Α Yes. 2 How so? 3 That they had a conversation about the source assisting 4 Kareem in obtaining additional pipe bombs. The conversation 5 though was a little convoluted in that it was -- it was used 6 or there was coded language. 7 0 Explain to us what "coded language" means in this context. 8 Coded language is typically used by bad guys so that law 9 enforcement and others may not understand the real topic of 10 the conversation. And is that something, based upon your training and 11 12 experience over the years in FBI, that you have noted as a 13 trend with individuals who may be talking about discussing 14 illegal things? 15 Α Absolutely. 16 And in this particular recorded call on May 8th of this 17 year, was the term "pipe bomb" ever specifically used? 18 Not to my knowledge. Α 19 So how did the conversation evolve? Would you like me to read it. 20 Α Please. 21 Q 22 Α CS-1: "I found south things you asked me about a while 23 ago.": 24 Kareem: "I know what you talking about. What was 25 the number?"

```
CS-1: "Like, 350."
 1
 2
               Kareem:
                        "For real?"
 3
               CS-1: Yeah, but I mean, there are deeper ones.
 4
      just don't know --
 5
               THE COURT: Let me stop you there.
 6
               Cheaper ones?
 7
     Α
          I'm sorry.
               "...cheaper ones. I just don't know. That was just
 8
      for really nice one. He had some for like a bill-fifty, a
 9
      hundred bucks."
10
11
               Kareem: "Yeah, we'll get together."
12
               CS-1: "All right."
13
                        "You think they'll still have them?"
               Kareem:
14
               CS-1: "Yeah, they'll have them, it's like a solid
      connect, I've already gone through them, like, twice now,
15
16
      so..."
17
               Kareem: All right, that'll work. That will
     definitely work."
18
          Did CS-1 report to the FBI that this conversation in
19
      particular, this segment that you just read, was, in fact, a
20
     coded conversation about pipe bombs?
21
22
     Α
          Yes.
23
          And following up on previous conversations and requests by
24
      Kareem to provide pipe bombs?
25
     Α
          Yes.
```

1 Q Did the source report that Kareem had requested to 2 purchase from the source any other weapons or items? 3 Silencers and protective armor, yes, body armor. Α And was that back in January/February of this year? 5 Yes. 6 And did the source provide to Kareem the silencers and 7 body armor that Kareem asked to purchase? No. 8 Α What was Kareem's response to that according to the 9 10 source? He became angry. 11 Α 12 MS. BROOK: I don't have any other questions, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Maynard? 15 MR. MAYNARD: A couple. CROSS EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MR. MAYNARD: Agent, it sounds like the majority of these charges or 18 this case stems from information you've got from this 19 20 confidential source? To my knowledge. 21 Α 22 Okay. When did this confidential source come to the FBI? 23 Well, there was CS-1 and there was CW-1. 24 I understand. And I understand the difference. "CW" is 25 "confidential witness"?

1 Α Okay. "CS" is "confidential source," correct? 2 3 Α Yes. And through this testimony, you have told us all of these 5 things that the confidential source has told the FBI. You 6 told us about one particular thing dealing with the 7 confidential witness, and that was that there were three quns 8 that he had seen out in the desert and you believe those were the three guns that were used in Garland, Texas? 9 10 Yes. Α 11 Okay. That's really all you got from the confidential 12 witness? 13 MS. BROOK: I object to misstating testimony. 14 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule it. 15 BY MR. MAYNARD: 16 I mean, the vast majority of what you've told us about so 17 far has been from the confidential source, correct? 18 Α Yes, a good portion, yeah. Okay. Now, when did the confidential source become known 19 to the FBI? 20 On or about May 7th. 21 Α 22 How did the FBI learn of the confidential source? 23 MS. BROOK: And, Your Honor, I'm going to object here to make sure we tailor our questions to those that do not go 24 25 to identity or revealing identity.

1 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Maynard hasn't asked for the 2 identity of the confidential source or the confidential 3 witness. I'm going to allow his questions. THE WITNESS: Another law enforcement agency advised 5 or contacted the FBI regarding the existence of CS-1. 6 BY MR. MAYNARD: Was -- were or is the confidential source a Muslim? 7 I'm not aware of that. I don't believe so. 8 9 MS. BROOK: And, Your Honor, again, I would move to 10 strike as it goes to identity. THE COURT: It's fairly broad. I don't think it 11 12 identifies your confidential source. I'm going to overrule. 13 BY MR. MAYNARD: 14 Was the -- had the confidential source been arrested by 15 some law enforcement agency in the Valley? 16 I am not aware of all the details of the confidential 17 source's illegal activity other than what's disclosed in this memorandum in the footnote. 18 Okay. Well, the footnote discloses to us that the 19 confidential source was recently arrested on domestic violence 20 charges, correct? 21 22 If that's what that states in the memorandum, then, yes. 23 Well, I don't want you to misstate anything. Why don't 24 you look at page 8. 25 Α Okay.

- 1 Q All right. Look at the footnote. That's what it says,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A It is.
- 4 Q Is that what you understand?
- 5 A Yes. That's what I understand.
- 6 Q And do you understand that whoever had arrested him,
- 7 whatever agency that was, then came to the FBI and says: I've
- 8 got somebody here with some information that you might want to
- 9 hear.
- 10 A I don't know if that was the sequence of events.
- 11 Q There would be somebody at the FBI who has more knowledge
- 12 | than you do about these things?
- 13 A Absolutely.
- 14 Q And do you recall whether or not the confidential source
- 15 | had also been arrested for kidnapping?
- 16 A According to the footnote, yes; domestic violence charges
- 17 or kidnapping, yes.
- 18 Q And adult sex trafficking?
- 19 A Yes, according to the footnote, yes.
- 20 Q And according to the footnote, the FBI then pays this
- 21 | individual \$500 for information?
- 22 A A one-time payment, yes.
- 23 Q A one-time so far at least?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And according to the footnote, the FBI gave this

1 individual the \$500 on May 15th, correct? 2 I'm not certain what date that they paid. Well, look at the footnote. Let's make sure you're 3 certain. 5 Yes. 6 Okay. And according to the footnote, the confidential 7 source has also been involved with stolen vehicles and using illegal drugs in the past, correct? 8 Yes. 9 Α 10 Do you know whether or not the confidential source had been -- had ever lived with Mr. Abdul Kareem? 11 12 MS. BROOK: Objection, Your Honor. Continuing objection to questions to elicit identification. 13 14 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection. BY MR. MAYNARD: 15 16 Now, the confidential source told you that he had been in 17 the desert and had seen Mr. Abdul Kareem shooting with Simpson and Soofi; is that correct? 18 Yes. Yes. 19 Α Okay. And the confidential witness that you have, the 20 other individual --21 22 Α Uh-huh. 23 -- did he tell you whether or not the confidential source 24 was there at the time that he was in the desert with them? 25 I'm unaware of that. Α

1 Q Okay. And so you just don't know one way or the other? 2 Α Correct. 3 All right. Now, part of this memoranda tells us that Mr. Abdul Kareem had been looking at -- or rather that there was 5 material, inflammatory material, advocating the use of weapons 6 of mass destruction towards civilians on his computer. 7 You're aware of that? 8 On the flash drive. 9 Okay. It's on the flash drive. And the flash drive, he 10 contended, did not belong to him, correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 But he told you the computer was his? 13 Correct. Α 14 And all of this occurred back in 2012? 15 Α Yes. 16 And at the time in 2012 are you aware whether or not Mr. 17 Abdul Kareem was living with Mr. Simpson? 18 I do not know. Α Do you know whether or not Mr. Abdul Kareem told the FBI 19 at that time that the flash drive wasn't his but it belonged 20 to Mr. Simpson? 21 2.2 I don't know that answer. 23 You've never had that discussion with any of the other 24 agents that are involved in this case? 25 MS. BROOK: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and

```
1
      answered.
 2
               MR. MAYNARD:
                             Judge --
 3
               THE COURT: You did ask her if she had discussed it
 4
      with any of the other agents. You can rephrase it if you're
 5
      trying to elicit some different information.
 6
      BY MR. MAYNARD:
 7
          All right. Have you ever discussed with any of the other
      agents who owns the flash drive?
 8
          No.
 9
      Α
10
          And you've never heard any agent say that the flash drive
11
      was owned by Mr. Simpson?
12
          No. I don't know the ownership.
13
          Okay. Do you know that Mr. Abdul Kareem said that he
14
      didn't own it but it was owned by Mr. Simpson?
          I knew that Mr. Kareem said that it was not his, but I did
15
16
      not know who he claimed it belonged to.
17
          All right. Were you involved in that investigation back
18
      in 2012?
          I was not.
19
      Α
          When did you get involved in it?
20
          Which case?
21
      Α
22
          When did you get involved in the investigation that has
23
      resulted in us being here today?
24
          On May 4th, essentially, after the -- the day after the
25
      attack.
```

1 Q Okay. Are you aware whether or not the FBI had been 2 following Mr. Simpson prior to May 4th of 2015? 3 I was aware that there was an investigation on Α Mr. Simpson. 5 And you were -- were you aware that prior to -- strike 6 that. 7 Were you aware that back in 2012 when the FBI got Mr. Abdul Kareem's computer, that he was living with Mr. Simpson? 8 Um --9 Α 10 MS. BROOK: Your Honor, again, asked and answered. 11 He's asked that question previously. 12 THE COURT: I do have it in my notes that you asked 13 her that. 14 BY MR. MAYNARD: 15 You were aware that Mr. Simpson and Mr. Abdul Kareem had not lived together for several years? 16 17 I don't think I knew the length of time since they've lived together, no. 18 You're aware that they were not living together in 2015? 19 I believe so. 20 You believe you were aware that they were not living 21 22 together? 23 Α Yes. 24 I don't want a double negative. 25 No. I understand. Α No.

1 Yes, I believe so. 2 All right. When the FBI arrested Mr. Abdul Kareem 3 recently, they had a search warrant and they went to his apartment, correct? 5 Yes. 6 And there was a computer there, correct? 7 Α Yes. Uh-huh. Is that a "yes"? 8 Α 9 Yes. 10 And did you look at the computer to determine whether or 11 not there was any of this inappropriate material concerning 12 mass killings or --13 This was in 2015? Α 14 Q Yes. 15 This recent search? Α 16 Q Yes. 17 We have not had a chance to review the computer. You mean you arrested him on June 10th. We're here now on 18 the 16th. And nobody has either turned on the computer or 19 20 looked at flash drives to determine whether or not there is any material similar to what you saw in 2012? 21 22 No. When we -- during the execution of the search 23 warrant, we only had an order to seize the computer. We did 24 not have a search warrant to search the computer. 25 So as of right now you haven't looked at the computer? Q Ιt

1 hasn't been turned on. Is that your testimony? 2 MS. BROOK: Your Honor, asked and answered. THE COURT: Sustained. 3 She answered the question. She explained they had a 5 search warrant to seize it, not to search it, and they haven't 6 searched it. 7 MR. MAYNARD: Judge, the last thing I ever want to do is argue with a judge, but I thought she said that at the time 8 they executed the warrant that's all they had for it. 9 10 It's been six days since they executed it. I'm 11 wondering in that six-day period if they looked at it? 12 THE COURT: Well, I think what you need to ask her is whether they have obtained a search warrant to search it or 13 14 whether they have searched it in the interim. 15 You didn't ask her that. You asked her the same question you asked before. 16 17 BY MR. MAYNARD: Have you obtained a search warrant and looked at the 18 computer since you obtained possession of it? 19 We have obtained a search warrant to search the computer. 20 I do not know if that -- that any review of the content of the 21 22 computer has been conducted. It's a process. 23 Once we're able to start the search process, our 24 computer forensic experts have to go through a process to 25 review the documents before it's turned over, the items

1 contained on the computer before it's turned over to 2 investigators. 3 So I'm not sure at this point where that process is. 4 Okay. After the shooting in Garland, Texas, on May 3rd, 5 when did the FBI first learn about Mr. Abdul Kareem? 6 I don't know that answer. 7 Were you involved in any interviews with him on either May 5th or May 6th? 8 9 Α No. 10 Are you aware that someone from the FBI called him on May 11 5th and asked him to come to the FBI office? 12 Α Yes. 13 MS. BROOK: I object to speculation -- I'll withdraw 14 my objection. 15 THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 17 BY MR. MAYNARD: Are you aware that he came voluntarily to the FBI office 18 to be interviewed? 19 20 Α Yes. And are you aware that he did that on May 6th? 21 22 Α I'm not aware of May 6th. 23 Okay. Did you participate in that interview? Q 24 Α No. 25 Is that interview recorded? Q

1 I don't know that answer. I assume so, but I do not know 2 that answer. After he was interviewed, he was free to go, correct? 3 was not under arrest? 5 Correct. Okay. If I understand correctly, then a day later the 6 7 confidential source comes -- becomes known to the FBI, correct? 8 I believe so. 9 And then the FBI has this confidential source make a 10 11 telephone call on May 8th to Mr. Abdul Kareem; is that 12 correct? That is correct. 13 Α 14 Okay. And the confidential source -- strike that. 15 Did you participate in interviewing the confidential source prior to the telephone calls? 16 17 Not at all. Α Okay. It's your understanding that the confidential 18 source has told the FBI that Mr. Abdul Kareem wanted to 19 purchase materials to build a pipe bomb? 20 21 Α Yes. Okay. And that the confidential source then told you or 22 23 told the FBI that Mr. Abdul Kareem became angry when that 24 information or those materials were not sold to him; is that 25 correct?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Okay. Has the FBI done anything to confirm whether or not
- 3 the confidential source knew how to build a pipe bomb?
- 4 A I'm unaware of -- I don't know.
- 5 Q You don't know?
- 6 A I don't know.
- 7 O The confidential source also advised the FBI that Mr.
- 8 Abdul Kareem asked him about buying silencers, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And has the FBI done anything to confirm whether or not
- 11 | the confidential source had access to silencers?
- 12 A I don't know that answer either.
- 13 Q The confidential source also told you that Mr. Abdul
- 14 Kareem was asking about purchasing bulletproof vests or
- 15 jackets?
- 16 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 17 Q Correct?
- 18 A Yes. Correct.
- 19 Q FBI done anything to confirm whether or not he even had
- 20 access to sell those?
- 21 A I don't know that answer either.
- 22 | Q Has the FBI done a search of the confidential source's
- 23 residence?
- 24 A I do not know that answer either.
- 25 | Q So I take it you don't -- do you know whether or not the

1 FBI's determined if the confidential source had any pipe 2 bombmaking material, silencers, or flack jackets or vests? 3 Α Yeah. I don't know. You don't know. 5 What has the FBI done to corroborate what the 6 confidential source has told you about all of these 7 allegations? Because I'm not the lead investigator, I'm just assisting, 8 I don't know that answer. 9 10 Now, he told the FBI all of this on May 8th and it's not 11 until three -- two days later that Mr. Abdul Kareem was 12 arrested, correct? 13 Correct. Α 14 During that 32-day period did the FBI follow Mr. Abdul Kareem? 15 16 I believe so. Yes. 17 Did the FBI ever see him do anything that indicated that he was going to try to build a pipe bomb? 18 19 That I don't know. Α Do you know whether or not it looked like he was trying to 20 do anything to buy any rifles or any type of other guns? 21 22 Α Again, I don't know. 23 In fact, the day the FBI arrested him, he was driving a 24 moving van, correct? 25 Α Correct.

- Q And he owns a moving company?
- 2 A Git-r Done.

1

- 3 Q Git-r Done. And he had gotten her done that morning by
- 4 loading up the truck for a woman, correct?
- 5 A He did, correct.
- 6 Q And he was stopped at a convenience store and was inside
- 7 buying water when the FBI pulled up?
- 8 A That's my understanding, yes.
- 9 Q And is it your understanding that he came out the front
- 10 door when he saw the FBI agents out front?
- 11 A That I don't know.
- 12 | Q You're not aware of him having made any effort to try to
- escape or run away or hide or go out the back door, are you?
- 14 A Again, I'm not aware of that.
- 15 Q Okay. And during that 32-day period after the FBI had
- 16 | interviewed him when he had shown up voluntarily at the FBI's
- 17 | office, did the FBI ever see him do anything that indicated
- 18 that he was going to try to run, go to Mexico, go to Canada,
- 19 or even go to another state?
- 20 A Again, I don't know those details. I can't answer that.
- 21 | Q Excuse me just a moment, Your Honor.
- 22 Oh. The telephone conversation that was recorded on
- 23 May 8th, this coded language used by bad guys?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q That's what you said?

```
1
      Α
          A good way to say it, yes.
 2
      Q
          Okay. Now what it says is:
 3
               "I found some things" --
 4
               The confidential source says -- and I'm looking at
 5
      page 10.
 6
      Α
          Yes.
          "I found some things you asked me about a while ago."
 7
 8
                        "I know what you talking about. What was
 9
      the number?"
               "Like, 350."
10
11
               Okay. Have you decoded this yet? Is that the price
12
      or the number or how many?
13
          I believe it's the price.
      Α
14
      O
          The price.
               "For real?"
15
16
               "Yeah, but I mean, there are cheaper ones. I just
17
      don't know. That was just for a really nice one. He had some
18
      for like a bill-fifty, a hundred bucks."
19
               "Yeah, we'll get together."
               "All right."
20
21
               "You think they'll still have them?"
22
               "Yeah, they'll have them, it's like a solid connect.
23
      I already gone through them, like, twice now."
24
               "All right, that'll work. That will definitely
      work."
25
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what the FBI has determined from this coded language by the bad guys is that he was looking to buy some sort of pipe bomb for 350, but he could buy some cheaper versions for either 150 or a hundred bucks? That is my understand. Have you done anything -- has the FBI done anything to determine where these alleged pipe bombs would have come from? I don't know that answer. Your original testimony was that this confidential source was going to teach him how to make pipe bombs. Why is he now calling this confidential source to buy pipe bombs that are already made? MS. BROOK: Objection. Speculation. THE COURT: I guess you can ask her the question differently. MR. MAYNARD: Yes, ma'am. BY MR. MAYNARD: Did you ask the confidential source why he was asking him to buy already-made pipe bombs rather than making them himself? My understanding is Mr. Kareem wanted CS-1 to either assist in acquisition of pipe bombs and/or help build pipe bombs or provide instruction or quidance for them to build pipe bombs. So it was kind of a tri-fold effort. So CS-1, according to you, told the FBI that at some point

```
1
      Mr. Abdul Kareem had asked him about showing him how to build
 2
      pipe bombs; and CS-1 had said, no, he wouldn't do it.
 3
               Mr. Abdul Kareem had asked CS-1 about buying
 4
      silencers; and he said, no, I won't do it.
 5
               He asked him about buying protective gear or flack
 6
      jackets; and he said, no, I wouldn't do it.
 7
               But yet on May 8th, two days after he's been
      interviewed by the FBI, it's your understanding from CS-1 that
 8
      Mr. Abdul Kareem is talking to a guy that he's mad at because
 9
10
      he wouldn't do these things for him before, about buying
11
      already-made pipe bombs?
12
               MS. BROOK: I would object to that question.
13
      Misstating prior testimony in relation to the pipe bomb and
14
      how the request for purchase first was made as well as the
      evolution of the other discussions.
15
16
               THE COURT: Overruled.
17
               MR. MAYNARD: I'm sorry?
               THE COURT: I overruled her objection. The witness
18
19
      can answer.
20
               MR. MAYNARD: You can answer.
21
               THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that?
22
               MR. MAYNARD: Probably not.
23
      BY MR. MAYNARD:
24
          Is it your understanding that CS-1 and Abdul Kareem had
25
      had a falling out recently?
```

1 Α That I don't know. 2 You don't know when this falling out occurred? 3 MS. BROOK: Your Honor, objection. Misstates 4 testimony. Again, goes to identification. 5 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it with respect to 6 identification. 7 You can ask her about prior testimony -- I believe 8 what you're getting at, Mr. Maynard, is some discussion as to whether Mr. Kareem was angry because the CS would not acquire 9 10 certain guns for him? 11 MR. MAYNARD: Yes. 12 THE COURT: So why don't you go back to that a little 13 more specifically? 14 BY MR. MAYNARD: 15 Let me ask you. Q 16 Do you have an understanding of how long it had been 17 since the CS-1 had contacted Abdul Kareem prior to this phone 18 call? I don't know that answer. 19 Okay. Let me -- you also know that not only does he own a 20 moving company Git-r Done, but he also does carpet cleaning, 21 2.2 correct? 23 That's my understanding. Α 24 This could easily be about a carpet cleaner is between 25 \$350 and \$150?

1 Α Other than CS-1 at a later point confirmed that this 2 discussion was about pipe bombs. 3 0 Yeah. 4 CS-1 seems to be the basis for all of this, correct? 5 MS. BROOK: Objection. Misstates testimony. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. 7 THE WITNESS: I would not say that everything is 8 based on CS-1. It's a combination of a number of investigative techniques and -- so, no. 9 10 BY MR. MAYNARD: Well, the FBI certainly didn't think he was a threat on 11 12 May 6th when he came in and talked to them. 13 MS. BROOK: Objection. Speculation. 14 MR. MAYNARD: Correct? 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. MAYNARD: 17 I mean, they let him go, correct? They did let him go. 18 Α Did you -- from the 32 days, did you see any illegal 19 activity on his part? 20 21 MS. BROOK: Asked and answered. 22 THE COURT: I'm going to allow the question. 23 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge -- I'm not certain. 24 BY MR. MAYNARD: 25 As far as you know, did he stay in Maricopa County and Q

```
1
      just carry on his day-to-day business and life?
 2
               MS. BROOK: Objection. Speculation.
      BY MR. MAYNARD:
 3
          As far as you know?
 5
               THE COURT: She can answer the question. Overruled.
               You can answer the question.
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
               MR. MAYNARD: All right. I don't have any further
 8
      questions, Your Honor.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Ms. Brook, do you have any redirect.
11
               MS. BROOK: Briefly.
12
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13
     BY MS. BROOK:
14
          Six days ago on June 10th when the defendant Abdul Malik
15
     Abdul Kareem was arrested, was he found in possession of a
16
     bulletproof vest?
17
          It was at his residence.
        And it was inside of his residence?
18
          It was in his room in a safe.
19
     Α
          Additionally, you had spoken before about two weapons that
20
      the defendant had identified as being his weapons?
21
2.2
     Α
          Yes.
               MR. MAYNARD: Your Honor, objection. It's beyond the
23
24
              I never asked about weapons or the vest.
25
                           That's true, but I'm going to allow it.
               THE COURT:
```

1 The evidentiary rules are somewhat relaxed in a detention 2 hearing. 3 Could you repeat that? 4 BY MS. BROOK: 5 When he was arrested on June 10th of this year, six days ago, was he found in possession of two weapons? 6 7 Α One weapon in the vehicle and one weapon at his residence. Is he permitted to own a weapon? 8 9 Α No. 10 Why not? Q 11 He is a convicted felon. Α 12 Defense counsel asked you about the computer and the flash 13 In 2012 you testified that the defendant's computer 14 and a flash drive that was in it was seized? 15 Α Correct. 16 And who was it that took possession of the flash drive? 17 Who did the FBI give it to? Mr. Kareem. 18 Α And who did they give the computer to? 19 20 Mr. Kareem. Defense counsel asked you about documents and videos being 21 22 on the flash drive separate and apart from what was on the 23 computer? 24 Correct. Α 25 And specifically, was there one document that was on both Q

1 devices, the computer and the flash drive? 2 Α Yes. 3 And was that the GIMF, security and intelligence force? Α Yes. 5 Defense counsel asked you questions about the Confidential 6 Source No. 1, and specifically, about charges that the 7 Confidential Source No. 1 has filed currently against him? Yes. 8 Α Is it your understanding that those are still charges and 9 10 not a conviction? 11 Α Yes. 12 Additionally, FBI in this case has paid that particular 13 source money as we've talked about? 14 Α Yes. 15 Are you aware of the date that that money was paid? I believe it was May 15th. 16 Α 17 And that was the \$500? Q 18 Α Correct. And on May 7th were any promises or benefits conferred to 19 the source on that particular day in exchange for the 20 interview that occurred? 21 22 Α Not to my knowledge. 23 Defense counsel asked you whether or not this case is just 24 based upon the confidential source's report. 25 Based upon your understanding of this case, is the

1 case just based upon the confidential source? 2 Absolutely, not. Are there additional witnesses that we have not talked 3 about here? 5 Absolutely. Is there additional evidence that we have not talked about 6 7 here? Yes. 8 Α Forensic reviews of computers, can those happen 9 10 immediately? 11 Generally, no. Α No. 12 Do they take time? In my experience they do. 13 Yes. 14 And just for clarity sake, we spoke about the confidential 15 source. We also spoke about the confidential witness, 16 Confidential Witness No. 1. 17 Α Yes. Is that the individual that identified the three weapons, 18 those rifles that the defendant Kareem shot with in the desert 19 this year alongside Simpson and Soofi? 20 Yes. 21 Α 22 And did that confidential witness identify those three 23 rifles as the same three rifle that's were found at the scene 24 of the Garland, Texas, shooting on May 3rd of this year? 25 Α Yes.

```
1
          On that flash drive that was seized inside the defendant's
      computer in 2012, did it contain violent videos inciting
 2
 3
      violence against civilians?
 4
               THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
 5
               MS. BROOK: I don't have any other questions.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Agent McCarthy.
 7
      You can step down.
 8
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
               THE COURT: Ms. Brook, did you wish to call any other
 9
10
      witnesses?
11
               MS. BROOK: No, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT:
                          And you indicated you wished to proceed
13
     by proffer as well.
14
               What did you wish to proffer?
15
               MS. BROOK: May I proceed back to the podium?
               THE COURT: Yes, you may.
16
17
               MS. BROOK: Your Honor, you have had an opportunity
      in this case to read our brief and I would like to focus in on
18
      three main points which strike at the very heart of why Kareem
19
      should be detained pending trial in this case.
20
               The first, I would like to talk about the details
21
22
      related to this crime. And in particular, one word comes to
23
      mind, which is "horrific."
24
               This was an attempted mass murder and the defendant
25
      Kareem had been indicted for facilitating that attempted mass
```

murder.

The May 2015 attack on the attendees of the Muhammad Art Exhibit and Contest in Garland, Texas; the attack that resulted in the death of Kareem's close associates, Simpson and Soofi and the wounding of a law enforcement officer.

Special Agent Dina McCarthy testified that inside the building at the time of the attack were roughly 125 people; that that particular event was set to conclude within 15 minutes of the time Simpson and Soofi showed up with 1500 rounds of ammunition and six weapons, including three rifles and two -- and three additional guns.

Your Honor, what's important about this crime, the details are obviously horrific, but this was an ideologically-motivated attempted mass murder which compels the conclusion that Kareem is, in fact, a grave danger the community and is a flight risk.

You have had heard testimony in this particular case about the ripple effect of the Charlie Hebdo attack which occurred in Paris, France, on January 7th of 2015; how that motivated and upset the defendant.

But, Your Honor, there is more than just that one first fact, the details of this crime which the defendant has been indicted for facilitating to attempt.

The second is that this is not the only time that the defendant has attempted to incite violence. So we have heard

testimony here today that a search of his computer and the flash drive that was inside of it showed videos, including one that was entitled "Training That Makes Killing Civilians Acceptable."

These videos were ones that were apt to incite violence.

Additionally, you have heard testimony about how these videos are inflammatory; and additionally, the videos that advocate the use of weapons of mass destruction and violence towards civilians.

You heard testimony today about how the defendants attempted to purchase pipe bombs, bulletproof vests, and silencers. Additionally, how he discussed plans to attack other venues, including the Super Bowl.

And even at the time that this defendant was arrested, Kareem a prohibited possessor, he was himself in possession of weapons and body armor.

This defendant, based upon all of these facts, is dangerous. He is off-the-charts dangerous.

A third point, Your Honor, which is also very important, I hesitate to bring it up as the first two points in this particular case are so powerful, but this is a defendant that does not follow orders of the court.

Kareem has a long history of violating court orders, violating terms of probation, driving drunk when he was on

probation for his last drunk driving offense, and even now when he is a prohibited possessor, being arrested six days ago. He was illegally in possession of weapons.

So even if that danger calculus with the first two points that we've talked about, the event itself, the fact that this is an individual who is apt to incite violence, this is also an individual who has not availed himself of court orders before.

So the defendant has all of the hallmarks of someone who is not going to follow court orders; and is, therefore, somebody who is a flight risk.

Your Honor, in this case I want to address what

Pretrial Services has said in their report. Pretrial Services
has agreed that he is a flight risk, that he is dangerous.

But they think that if they tell him not to do something and that they are going to monitor him, it will stop him.

Respectfully, Your Honor, this is an individual that has been told by courts again and again how to act and time and time again he disregards those court orders; driving drunk while on probation, violating a court order in the most flagrant way by breaking the law, not once, not twice, but three times.

And if that wasn't enough, he's also been told time and time again that he cannot possess weapons anymore. But

1 just six days ago he was arrested in possession of weapons. 2 I would respectfully submit, Your Honor, that when 3 you have somebody that is part of planning an 4 ideologically-motivated attempted mass murder, a piece of 5 paper telling him how to act is not going to reduce the risk 6 to this community. It's not going to ensure his presence for 7 future court hearings. Your Honor, the government respectfully requests this 8 Court to order that the defendant be detained pending the 9 10 duration of this case based on the fact that he is a grave 11 danger to the community and a flight risk where no condition 12 or combination of conditions can reasonably assure his 13 presence and the safety of our community. 14 Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Maynard, did you wish to call any witnesses? 16 17 MR. MAYNARD: No, Your Honor. Proffer. 18 THE COURT: Please come forward. 19 MR. MAYNARD: Your Honor, I would be the last one to 20 21 argue that the allegations that the government has made are not serious. They are. 2.2 23 But my client is not a flight risk. My client is not 24 a threat or a danger to this community. 25 The government has done its best in a very short

period of time in both the memoranda that it sent to you either last night or early this morning and in the witness that it put on today to try to frighten all of us that this is a violent man and that there are no terms or conditions under which you can impose that will make sure that he does not commit violence and that he makes all of his court appointments. And that's just not the case.

Judge, Pretrial Services prepared a report and they are the experts in this area. And they go off and they look and they make a determination as to whether or not there are a set of terms and conditions under which the individual can be released that will do the best we can to ensure that he will make all of his court appointments and to protect the public. And they have said that there are.

The government has just told you, as they said on page 5 of their memoranda, that Pretrial Services correctly concludes that Kareem poses a flight risk for several independent reasons. And they just told you that again.

Well, when I look at the Pretrial Service Report on page 6 under Assessment of Nonappearance, I see nothing.

There is nothing there that says he's a flight risk.

In fact, what it says is he's a defendant with a long-time residence -- resident of the District with financial and family ties.

He has a brother that lives here. He owns a company.

1 On the day he was arrested, he was working. They go on to say: He has no history of failures to 2 appear. However, on three occasions, while either on 3 4 probation, reinstated, or continued, he didn't comply with 5 court orders. Well, let's talk about that. 6 From 1997 to 2004 he had three DUIs. He hasn't had 7 any conviction in the last eleven years. 8 When the government tells you that he doesn't comply with court orders, they're talking about things that happened 9 10 over eleven years ago and they're exactly the same thing. They are -- he was either told not to drink or not drive on a 11 12 suspended license and he did. 13 Now, that's not the kind of thing that makes him a 14 danger to the community if it hasn't happened in eleven years. 15 Pretrial went on to say Pretrial Service believes 16 there is a condition or combination of conditions to address 17 the above issues. They don't say he's a flight risk. not there. The government is disingenuous when it tells you 18 that they say it is. 19 20 Secondly, is he a danger to the community? Judge, what we have is an individual who had his 21 22 computer taken back in 2012 and we're told what horrendous 23 material was on it. 24 He admitted that the computer was his. He denied 25 that the drive was his.

If it was so bad, the government gave it back to him.

The government has been -- I would assume -- watching him off and on. I would hope so if they thought he was such a dangerous individual since 2012.

He certainly hasn't committed any crimes. He hasn't done anything. He hasn't left the country. There's no testimony that he has done anything of that nature to give this Court pause or to make this Court think that he's going to leave.

Him being a danger, Judge, these are fright tactics.

The government has just argued to you that, look at what happened in France, Charlie Hebdo, look at what happened in Garland, Texas. There is no testimony that my client purchased any of these guns that were found in Garland, Texas. There's no testimony that he secured the guns from someone.

All of this revolves around this confidential source, a confidential source who ends up getting arrested on May 7th, makes a phone call that the government wants us to believe is in some sort of coded message that my client is trying to buy already-made pipe bombs.

For goodness sakes, anybody knows if you want to get a pipe bomb, you can learn how to make it over the Internet.

Why in the world he would -- this ideological individual who's wanting to travel across state lines to commit mass murder, what the government wants you to believe is he's talking to

somebody who is a non-Muslim about buying these things, a guy that the government tells you he had had a dispute with over the same types of things.

This fellow -- this is your typical, typical jailhouse snitch. I got caught doing something. And let me tell you. I know the guy who was in -- who was living with or was involved with the two guys that just got killed in Garland, Texas.

And what do we know about this individual, this confidential source that is so reputable? That he was recently arrested on domestic violence charges for kidnapping and adult sex trafficking which has not been adjudicated.

Why? Because he's probably cutting a deal.

Now, the government can sit here and tell us all day until they're blue in the face that they haven't cut a deal with him. But they turn around with a guy who's been charged with kidnapping and adult sex trafficking and they pay him \$500, for goodness sakes. How reliable is this guy?

But it's only a one-time payment. And they paid it on May 15th, seven days after he made this phone call that tells us nothing.

This case is all smoke and mirrors based upon a confidential source that is not reliable at all. If my client were a terrorist, if he were an idealogue as the government wants you to believe, don't you think he would have done

1 something after he was interviewed by the government on May 2 6th? 3 They call him on May 5th. He voluntarily goes to the 4 FBI headquarters up on 7th Street and he sits down for an 5 interview. 6 He may not be the brightest guy in the world because 7 he knows the FBI is out there following him and looking to him and talking to him and he's carrying around -- they find a gun 8 in the vehicle that he's in. 9 10 But quite candidly, a lot of people that get felony 11 DUIs don't realize that that makes them so that they can't 12 have a weapon. 13 Nobody found anything -- there's been no testimony 14 that there was anything in his apartment, in his truck, 15 anywhere that indicated he was trying to build any bombs, pipe 16 bombs, or anything of that nature. 17 The presentence -- or the Pretrial Service Report pointed out that several years ago he was robbed and he was 18 shot in the back. 19 That's the reason he had the vest in his safe. 20 wasn't wearing the vest. He was out working. 21 2.2 He was conducting his business like he normally does. 23 He is a normal citizen who just happens to believe in a different faith from most of us. He's Muslim. It's Ramadan. 24 25 He's out there working. But everybody wants him to be a

bogeyman.

2.2

Judge, clearly, the easiest thing for you to do would be to say he's detained, but it's not the right thing. The law is pretty clear. You have to look to determine if there are terms and conditions under which we can protect the public to make sure that they're not harmed and to make sure that he shows up. Pretrial Services told you there are.

There's certainly -- there certainly are. We can do third-party release. You can have him calling in. We can put him on electronic monitoring. But he needs to be released so that I can have access to him, reasonable access to him so I don't have to drive 120 or 40 miles roundtrip to help defend this case.

Right now there's one witness that seems to be the backbone of this whole thing. And I suggest to you that this Court should not detain this American citizen based upon the alleged testimony of this confidential source under these circumstances.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Maynard.

Ms. Brook, is there anything you would like to offer in response to Mr. Maynard?

MS. BROOK: Your Honor, I'm going to start off by reurging the argument that I already set forth in front of the Court. And I also want to highlight a couple of issues for the Court's consideration.

2.2

Mr. Maynard's inconvenience in traveling to go see his client in custody is not one of the factors set forth in our consideration, Your Honor's consideration, of whether or not the defendant should be detained as a flight risk and detained as a danger to the community.

Mr. Maynard states that this entire case rests on reports, information from a confidential source. That is not what the agent here testified to today.

In contrast, we know that it is more. There are probative facts from the confidential source that were discussed today as they bare keenly upon the issue of whether or not the defendant is a danger to this community, and, in addition, whether or not this defendant is a risk.

And there are certain factors that we have enumerated.

One, that the defendant this year has talked about bombing and attacking other venues, including the Super Bowl.

That in this year the defendant has attempted to purchase pipe bombs and vests from that particular individual. We also know that the defendant when he was arrested just six days ago was, in fact, in possession of a bulletproof vest.

Moreover, we know that the defendant also stated that he intended to go with Simpson and Soofi to conduct this attack in Garland, Texas. Statements obviously that the defendant made prior to the attack on May 3rd of this year.

Moreover, you heard testimony on the issue of the defendant's dangerousness as it relates to reports from the confidential witness, an individual who said that this year he went shooting with the defendant and the defendant had with him, as well as Simpson and Soofi, three rifles.

He described those rifles and then he visually identified them from photographs as three rifles that the defendant had with him in the past few months shooting in the desert.

Those were three of the six weapons that were found at the screen bought by Simpson and Soofi on May 3rd.

Your Honor, obviously, this presentation of facts for Your Honor does not encompass all of the government's case in this particular matter. What the government is providing to Your Honor today is testimony that bears upon the defendant's dangerousness and the issue of the defendant's flight risk.

As it pertains to flight risk, Your Honor, defense counsel states that the Pretrial Service Report does not conclude that the defendant is a flight risk. And I would just turn to the language of the report itself which says that this defendant has on three occasions either had probation reinstated or continued for failure to comply with court orders.

The defendant self-admitted to being a social consumer of alcohol. However, his arrest record includes four

arrests, three convictions for driving under the influence of alcohol, indicating the defendant has a pattern of abusing alcohol.

A further concern and went on to talk about the post-traumatic stress syndrome, and then says that the Pretrial Service Report writer believes that there is a condition or combination of conditions to address the above-noted issues.

The government points this out because defense counsel stated that the government incorrectly asserted that Pretrial Services concluded that the defendant was not a flight risk.

And it's obvious from the Pretrial Services writer's report that, in fact, she has concluded him to be a flight risk but just believes there to be conditions or a combination of conditions that can mitigate that risk.

The government strongly believes that this defendant poses a great danger to the community, and in addition, believes that he is a risk to not appear for future court hearings.

And the government also believes that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that can mitigate against the potential harm of an individual who has been indicted for facilitating an attempted mass murder on an event that had over 125 people inside.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, Your Honor, a defendant who has shown flagrant disregard for court orders, inability to comply with conditions of probation, and in the most basic way which is by committing additional unlawful acts. With that, Your Honor, the government asks that the court detain the defendant. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. I see that Ms. Foster is here from Pretrial Services. Ms. Foster, other officers from Pretrial Services have told me in other matters that your office does not consider the strength of the government's evidence or the evidence that may be offered in the case when preparing a Pretrial Services Report; is that correct? PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. That is correct. THE COURT: Did you, when preparing the Pretrial Services preliminary report, consider any of the information that the government presented either through testimony or proffer to the Court today? PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: No, Your Honor. Pretrial Services was not aware that the defendant was in possession of weapons or the bulletproof vests or any of the other items that were discussed today. THE COURT: And, Ms. Foster, I have read a fair

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of these preliminary Pretrial Services Reports. when I get to the "concluding" sections where the officer writing the report lists Assessment of Nonappearance or Assessment of Danger, my experience has been that I find the officer lists the factors that favor the defendant and the factors that suggest that the defendant poses either a risk of flight or danger and then goes on to state whether those risks can be addressed by conditions. When I read the language of this report, I have to say bluntly that I agree with the government's assessment that the Pretrial Services Officer preparing the report was concluding that there was a risk of flight and danger, but then concluded that conditions could be fashioned to address those risks; is that correct? PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And I have seen the Pretrial Services Officers write "there are no known factors that suggest that the defendant poses a risk of" -- and it's either "flight" or "danger." You do that on occasion in reports? PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And if the officer writing this report, in this case that was you, had concluded that there was no risk of danger or flight, would you have stated that in the report?

1 PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Is there anything that you have heard 3 today that would change your assessment or recommendation? 4 PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER FOSTER: With some of the 5 information that was brought forth today, it does concern 6 Pretrial Services as far as risk of nonappearance and danger, 7 but we would refer to the Judge, to Your Honor, for a decision 8 regarding detention. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 The government has the burden of establishing that 11 the defendant poses a risk of flight and must establish this 12 by a preponderance of the evidence. And they must establish 13 by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a 14 risk of danger to the community. Based on the testimony that was offered and the 15 16 government's proffer, I agree with Pretrial Services that the 17 government has established both that the defendant poses a risk of flight and a danger. 18 But the Bail Act then requires the Court to consider 19 20 whether there are conditions that could be fashioned to address those risks. 21 2.2 Pretrial Services proposed fairly minimal conditions. 23 It does not appear that any third-party custodian was proposed 24 or evaluated to determine if there is an appropriate 25 third-party custodian, nor was there any assessment of whether

the defendant's residence would be suitable for electronic monitoring such as location monitoring through GPS.

So we do not have that information to even impose those conditions if they would be deemed appropriate.

I'm going to take this in reverse order from the manner in which the parties laid it out.

With respect to the issue of flight, that encompasses the Court's concern that the defendant will not abide by court orders. Now, given what the defense has argued that his prior convictions and probation violations are dated, the Court agrees that that does diminish the significance of those factors.

Nonetheless, there are three probation violations. So these are circumstances in which the defendant was placed on a court order, was placed on probation.

At the time of being placed on probation, would have been warned and advised that a violation of probation could result in a revocation of probation and being sentenced up to the statutory maximum.

So a violation of probation is a serious matter and the defendant would have been advised of that at the time he was placed on probation on three separate occasions but he nonetheless violated probation.

It appears from the nature of the offenses which is Driving Under the Influence, that it is probably a substance

2.2

abuse component or at least that there was at that time that was contributing to these violations of probation, but nonetheless, the defendant has established a pattern of violating court orders.

More recently, and more probatively, the defendant was arrested six days ago while in possession of a firearm in his vehicle and possession of a firearm in his home.

He is a prohibited possessor because he is a convicted felon.

The defense argues that perhaps he wasn't aware of that or suggests that perhaps he wasn't aware that he was a prohibited possessor because he may not have realized a DUI conviction could result in that status and also suggested that he was possessing a bulletproof vest as a matter of self-protection.

But nonetheless, he was a prohibited possessor, and as recently as six days ago was violating that statute by being in possession of a firearm in his vehicle and in his home which, again, shows a pattern of violating court orders.

So the concern is not the defendant would not abide by conditions that the Court could impose; conditions such as calling into a probation officer on a regular schedule, wearing an ankle monitor to determine his location should that even be feasible given his home. It requires certain technology. It requires, for example, a land line which we

don't know if he has in his home.

2.2

Also, it is not impossible, in fact, it is feasible for a defendant to remove an ankle bracelet and flee.

So the fact that the Court imposed location monitoring would not provide any assurance that the defendant would not choose to flee and violate court orders.

On the issue of dangerousness to the community, the government has a higher burden. And they have offered testimony and proffered information from a confidential source and a confidential witness.

The defense has attacked the reliability and the credibility of the confidential source. They have made those attacks quite extensively in the courtroom this afternoon and have suggested that this source is not reliable but is, instead, in fact, a snitch, and that the information from that source should not be considered in deciding that the defendant poses a risk of danger.

However, as the government correctly notes, there is also a confidential witness. The defense did not attack the credibility of the confidential witness, nor is any information in the footnotes in the Government's Sentencing Memorandum that would suggest that there was significant issues with credibility with respect to confidential witness.

There is no information indicating that the confidential witness received any payment. And that witness

is the one who ties the defendant to the three weapons that were recovered at the scene of the Garland, Texas, attack; and that witness ties the defendant to the shooting, training exercise in the desert, with Simpson and Soofi, who are deceased, of course, as they were killed at the attack in Garland, Texas.

So that is damning information that comes from the confidential witness and supports the government's argument that the defendant poses a risk of danger based on ideological reasons and shown motivation to at least facilitate an attack on civilians.

Now, the information from the confidential source, even if the Court were to discredit it to some degree based on the defendant's arguments that the source received a one-time payment and that the source had been charged with various offenses recently, indicates that the defendant discussed other attacks, including at the Super Bowl, that he discussed participating in and attending the attack in Texas, and that he wanted to buy various materials such as pipe bombs, silencers, and body armor, all of which is highly suggestive of engaging in very dangerous conduct.

The Court will give that less significance and less weight given that there are factors that undermine the confidential source's credibility, although none of that has been resolved, and at this point we are just considering the

arguments of counsel with respect to that testimony.

There's also direct information about materials in the defendant's possession on the computer which he acknowledges he owned, although he did deny owning the flash drive.

And there was material on both the computer and the flash drive and it appears from the arguments and the information that's been presented that perhaps the more inflammatory material was actually on the flash drive that was in the computer, but nonetheless, the computer did maintain files related to various military operations and the government has identified those files as GIMF files.

So there is information that the defendant had an interest in this and for a number of years has possessed this information.

Now, the defense argues that the defendant knew that he was being followed, as they put it, or perhaps was under surveillance. He had been called in and voluntarily appeared at an interview. And therefore, if he was going to flee, he would have done so already.

That he would have fled between perhaps May 3rd and the date of the Garland, Texas, attack or if he would have fled after May 6th when he voluntarily appeared at the FBI office for an interview.

But the Court finds that there is a significant

difference between appearing for an interview and being indicted.

And now the defendant has been indicted on serious charges. The government has provided their guidelines calculation in their memo which may or may not be accurate, but nonetheless, it does reflect a very strong reason to believe that the defendant could face very significant term of imprisonment should he be convicted on these charges.

And so that is a factor to consider. And the difference in his status post-indictment is significant from his status before the indictment.

Defense counsel has argued many of the factors that typically weigh in favor of a person's release. The fact that they are a United States citizen, that they have ties to the community, that they have a residence here, that they are employed, and often they will argue information based on their criminal history or lack of a criminal history.

And he suggests -- excuse me -- is suggesting that based on these ties, the Court should conclude that the defendant does not pose a risk of flight or a danger to the community.

And those factors do weigh in his favor and the Court has considered all those factors in his favor. But nonetheless, has concluded that the pattern of disregarding of court orders, including as recently as six days ago when he

1	had firearms, although he was a prohibited possessor, and the
2	other information that's been offered by testimony and proffer
3	establishes ideological motivation for posing a danger to the
4	community.
5	And so for all of those reasons, I find that he does
6	pose a risk of flight and danger and that there are no
7	conditions that can be fashioned to address those risks. And
8	so I'm going to order that he be detained pending further
9	proceedings as both a flight risk and a danger.
10	Counsel, is there anything else that we need to
11	address this evening?
12	MS. BROOK: No, Your Honor.
13	MR. MAYNARD: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15	Then the hearing is adjourned.
16	(Proceedings adjourned at 5:30 p.m.)
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, ELIZABETH A. LEMKE, court-approved transcriber,
4	certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
5	official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
6	above-entitled matter.
7	
8	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 17th day of June,
9	2015.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	s/Elizabeth A. Lemke ELIZABETH A. LEMKE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	